

20010929.qrp v02\_n327.qrl.20010929

Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 19:03:04 EDT  
From: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: QRP-L digest 2327

QRP-L Digest 2327

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) [107625] Get on this weekend!!  
by "Jim N0UR" <n0ur@mediaone.net>
- 2) [107626] Not QRP but C.W.  
by Thomas Kuehl <ac7a@gci-net.com>
- 3) [107627] Re: Get on this weekend!!  
by "N7SG K7FD" <k7fd@hotmail.com>
- 4) [107628] ATAS-100 Gambler's sale or trade?  
by "Marty N5NW" <n5nw@onebox.com>
- 5) [107629] Re: Get on this weekend!!  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 6) [107630] Re: Get on this weekend!!  
by Arthur Moe <kb7ww@uswest.net>
- 7) [107631] RE: Get on this weekend!!  
by "Daniel Shepherd" <n8ie@woh.rr.com>
- 8) [107632] g3ycc site(and memorial sites)  
by <baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com>
- 9) [107633] Re: g3ycc site(and memorial sites)  
by <baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com>
- 10) [107634] Field Day Results  
by Fred Lesnick <flesnick@tbaytel.net>
- 11) [107635] For Sale - Update  
by Michael Goins <mgoins@usa.net>
- 12) [107636] Re: Not QRP but C.W.  
by "Glenn Butzlaff" <gbutzlaff@wi.rr.com>
- 13) [107637] Digital Modes on 7.040 MHz  
by "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>
- 14) [107638] Re: Digital Modes on 7.040 MHz  
by Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
- 15) [107639] Re: Digital Modes on 7.040 MHz  
by "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>
- 16) [107640] Re: Get on this weekend!!  
by "John Moriarity" <k6qq@hdo.net>
- 17) [107641] Re: Get on this weekend!!  
by "Steven Weber" <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
- 18) [107642] Re: Get on this weekend!!  
by "Rod Cerkoney, N0RC" <rod@n0rc.com>
- 19) [107643] Great start to contest

- by Arthur Moe <kb7ww@uswest.net>
- 20) [107644] Weekend condx for arci QSO Party  
by "Paul Harden, NA5N" <na5n@rt66.com>
  - 21) [107645] Ten Tec SSB Question  
by "Robert P. Okas" <vintage@best.com>
  - 22) [107646] N2CX HB Sprint Operation  
by "Joe Everhart" <n2cx@voicenet.com>
  - 23) [107647] ARCI vs. RTTY Major Mistake!  
by Ed Kessler <edkess@pa.net>
  - 24) [107648] Re: Get on this weekend!!  
by W2AGN <w2agn@pobox.com>
  - 25) [107649] FS Kodak Printer  
by John Payne <paynej1@strato.net>
  - 26) [107650] Ooops....  
by John Payne <paynej1@strato.net>
  - 27) [107651] Re: More on...not moron...shorthand  
by Bill Coleman <aa4lr@arrl.net>
  - 28) [107652] Re: More on...not moron...shorthand  
by Bill Coleman <aa4lr@arrl.net>
  - 29) [107653] Shorthand  
by "Walt Amos" <k8cv@netzero.net>
  - 30) [107654] RTTY on 7.038 MHz  
by "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>
  - 31) [107655] RE: Shorthand  
by "Charles Mabbott" <crmabbott@mediaone.net>
  - 32) [107656] Re: More on...not moron...shorthand  
by lhlousek <lhlousek@nvhbell.net>
  - 33) [107657] Re: RTTY on 7.038 MHz  
by "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>
  - 34) [107658] question - kenwood 440  
by Michael Goins <mgoins@usa.net>
  - 35) [107659] disregard kenwood 440  
by Michael Goins <mgoins@usa.net>
  - 36) [107660] Re: ARCI vs. RTTY Major Mistake!  
by Garie Halstead <k8kfj@ntelos.net>
  - 37) [107661] ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by "Steven Weber" <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
  - 38) [107662] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>
  - 39) [107663] Hexacon Therm-O-Trac tips?  
by "Blake Meinecke" <n4gi@tampabay.rr.com>
  - 40) [107664] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by William R Colbert <w5xe@juno.com>
  - 41) [107665] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by Joe Reed <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org>
  - 42) [107666] Re: RTTY on 7.038 MHz  
by <baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com>
  - 43) [107667] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest

by Joe Reed <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
44) [107668] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by "N7SG K7FD" <k7fd@hotmail.com>  
45) [107669] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by Joe Reed <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
46) [107670] RE: FT Psk 20/80  
by "Pastor-KC1DI" <elbc@pivot.net>  
47) [107671] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by Joel M Denison <hamjoel@juno.com>  
48) [107672] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by Joel M Denison <hamjoel@juno.com>  
49) [107673] QRP ARCI CONTEST  
by Joel M Denison <hamjoel@juno.com>  
50) [107674] Re: QRP ARCI CONTEST  
by "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
51) [107675] balun problem  
by "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>  
52) [107676] Re: [fpqrp] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by "Rob Matherly" <kc0bom@arrl.net>  
53) [107677] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
54) [107678] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by "Rob Matherly" <kc0bom@arrl.net>  
55) [107679] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
56) [107680] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by "Rob Matherly" <kc0bom@arrl.net>  
57) [107681] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by Dave Sjolin <sjolin@swbell.net>  
58) [107682] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by Joe Reed <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
59) [107683] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
60) [107684] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by Dave Sjolin <sjolin@swbell.net>  
61) [107685] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by Joe Reed <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
62) [107686] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by Jim Larsen <AL7FS@ARRL.NET>  
63) [107687] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
by Dave Sjolin <sjolin@swbell.net>

---

Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 18:15:53 -0500  
From: "Jim N0UR" <n0ur@mediaone.net>  
To: "QRP-L" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107625] Get on this weekend!!

Message-ID: <001901c14873\$85f13160\$35552942@mn.mediaone.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Just a quick note to remind everyone to get on the weekend for the QRP QRCI Fall QSO Party. Even if you don't contest, it is a great time to test that new antenna idea, new rig or see how many new states you can work QRP or QRPp.

If for no other reason, please get on and work us poor saps who have committed to a full 24 hour effort.

I will also be trying out my new orphan K2, which the two previous owners are now silent keys.

Check here for details on the contest.

<http://personal.palouse.net/rfoltz/arci/fall.htm>

Hope the sun gods will be smiling on us, and pleassssssssse get on, if even just to work ME!!

72s

Jim N0ur

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 16:17:46 -0700  
From: Thomas Kuehl <ac7a@gci-net.com>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [107626] Not QRP but C.W.  
Message-ID: <3BB6569A.2ECF5308@gci-net.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello List,

Now I realize this is a bit (a bunch) off topic, but I had one of those weird c.w. moments today. Due to slow business at work, many of us have been asked to take a number of vacation days each quarter. Well today was one of my "vacation" days, so I headed out with my lovely XYL to the local Bed, Bath and Beyond store (This just isn't the kind of place I would go on my own).

Anyway, I was following my wife around the store while she proceeded to look at food processors, bed sheets, electric tooth brushes, etc., when the music coming over the store speaker system caught my ear. It sounded like the tape or CD got stuck on one segment of the song, and within that segment I thought I heard c.w. characters being sent. I quickly moved about the aisles so that I could better hear it. Indeed it was actual c.w. coming from the speakers!

At first I thought that some local c.w.. op was getting into the stores sound system. But as I listened to the nice modulated tone, I realized that didn't make sense. As I listened, it became apparent that the c.w. was an intentional part of the song and was being played on a keyboard or synthesizer. The c.w. was a bit choppy, and slow; maybe 10 or 12 w.p.m. All I copied was ". . . in the air . . ." That line may have been preceded by the word "joy." After the c.w. ceased, some lone soloist began singing some lines in what I believe was German.

I am not ancient (yet), and my 3 children are teens; so I am familiar with German techno-pop. This song had that very rhythmic, synthesized sound, but its beat was very slow; not the usual hyper beat associated with techno. So in that regard this wasn't "dance" music. It is was I would classify a techno, slow song (?).

Anyone have any idea what song this is and who does it? Maybe some of our European list dwellers know this music, or have teens that know the answers. This song could become the c.w. operators anthem!

Best Regards, Thomas - AC7A (Tucson)

P.S. Is anyone going to try 160 meters in the Fall QRP QSO party?

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 16:26:47 -0700  
From: "N7SG K7FD" <k7fd@hotmail.com>  
To: n0ur@mediaone.net, qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [107627] Re: Get on this weekend!!  
Message-ID: <F244QzuieVWZ377e0oo0000adc4@hotmail.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

This is not a good sign, OM...

; ) John K7FD

>I will also be trying out my new orphan K2, which the two previous >owners  
>are now silent keys.

>Jim N0UR

-----  
Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 19:28:17 -0400  
From: "Marty N5NW" <n5nw@onebox.com>  
To: "QRP" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>, <ft817@yahoogroups.com>,  
<ic706@yahoogroups.com>, <ft100@yahoogroups.com>,  
Subject: [107628] ATAS-100 Gambler's sale or trade?  
Message-ID: <LNBBKNIEENMHNOLILCLGOECFDBAA.n5nw@onebox.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have an ATAS-100. The guy I bought it from swears it worked when he took it off his truck. The guy I sold it to swore it didn't, but he didn't seem to understand how to hook it up. I tried to test it and hooked up the AMCOM controller backwards and popped the 8v voltage regulator. I don't know if the purchaser had an installation issue, a radio issue, or if indeed the antenna is busted.

I'd like to trade (as-is) for an MFJ or ATX multi-band walkabout antenna for the FT-817. You might get a fair deal on broken equipment, or a killer deal on equipment that works (replacing the AMCOM voltage regulator will be required, however). Sell the entire package for \$125, again, AS-IS.

Anybody interested? Let me know direct, please.

--

73 de N5NW/8  
Marty

-----  
Amateur Radio Station N5NW/8

Bellbrook, Ohio

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 19:35:43 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: <k7fd@hotmail.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [107629] Re: Get on this weekend!!  
Message-ID: <00c801c14876\$60e8e0e0\$0600a8c0@dad>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I don't know, depends on why they are SKs. And if he was 'in line'  
at the time!

Then again, maybe he should watch out for the 'next' guy!

Mike

----- Original Message -----  
From: N7SG K7FD <k7fd@hotmail.com>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2001 7:26 PM  
Subject: Re: Get on this weekend!!

>  
> This is not a good sign, OM...  
>  
> ;) John K7FD  
>  
> >I will also be trying out my new orphan K2, which the two previous  
> owners  
> >are now silent keys.  
>  
> >Jim N0UR  
>  
>  
> -----  
> Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at  
> <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>  
>  
>

Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 16:37:02 -0700  
From: Arthur Moe <kb7ww@uswest.net>  
To: n0ur@mediaone.net  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107630] Re: Get on this weekend!!  
Message-ID: <3BB5099E.BE3E79D0@uswest.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

All,

Jim's message is loud and clear. I would also like to encourage all of you to spend some time on the air this weekend and make a few QSO's. If you have never entered a contest this would be a time to try one. Don't worry about not being able to copy or send 30 wpm there are lots of us that can't including me, but I will be there. You will find that the fast stations will slow down to work you. Think of this as an adventure to see who, how many, or how far you can work.

Soap box off

Art  
KB7WW  
Oregon

Jim N0UR wrote:

>  
> Just a quick note to remind everyone to get on the weekend for the QRP QRCI  
> Fall QSO Party. Even if you don't contest, it is a great time to test that  
> new antenna idea, new rig or see how many new states you can work QRP or  
> QRPp.  
>  
> If for no other reason, please get on and work us poor saps who have  
> committed to a full 24 hour effort.  
>  
> I will also be trying out my new orphan K2, which the two previous owners  
> are now silent keys.  
>  
> Check here for details on the contest.  
>  
> <http://personal.palouse.net/rfoltz/arci/fall.htm>  
>  
> Hope the sun gods will be smiling on us, and pleassssssssse get on, if even  
> just to work ME!!  
>  
> 72s  
>



> Jim N0ur

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 19:38:16 -0400  
From: "Daniel Shepherd" <n8ie@woh.rr.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107631] RE: Get on this weekend!!  
Message-ID: <000601c14876\$a555f7e0\$0300a8c0@woh.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Jim,  
I'd put that bad boy on e-Bay before it kills again!

Let me guess, it's the only red K-2 to come off the line. :-)

72, oo  
Dan, N8IE

> -----Original Message-----  
> From: owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU]On Behalf Of  
> Jim N0UR  
> Sent: Friday, September 28, 2001 07:16 PM  
> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion  
> Subject: Get on this weekend!!  
>  
>  
> Just a quick note to remind everyone to get on the weekend for  
> the QRP QRCI  
> Fall QSO Party. Even if you don't contest, it is a great time to  
> test that  
> new antenna idea, new rig or see how many new states you can work QRP or  
> QRPP.  
>  
> If for no other reason, please get on and work us poor saps who have  
> committed to a full 24 hour effort.  
>  
> I will also be trying out my new orphan K2, which the two previous owners  
> are now silent keys.  
>  
> Check here for details on the contest.  
>  
> <http://personal.palouse.net/rfoltz/arci/fall.htm>

>  
> Hope the sun gods will be smiling on us, and pleassssssssse get  
> on, if even  
> just to work ME!!  
>  
> 72s  
>  
> Jim NOur  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 20:13:47 -0400 (EDT)  
From: <baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com>  
To: "qrp-L@Lehigh.EDU" <qrp-L@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107632] g3ycc site(and memorial sites)  
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.05L.10109282010340.2948-1000000@vh1.min.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

After TONS of rewrites and searching google, I've found the  
following files are missing from the G3YCC memorial site  
at <http://www.zerobeat.net/g3ycc/> AND the G3YCC site:

qls2.htm(and graphics)  
PITANK.GIF  
ccsys.jpg  
tykey2.htm(and graphics)

If anyone has managed to save the above, I'd love to get copies.

Thanks

Thom

baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com  
<http://www.baltimoremd.com/>  
<http://www.baltimorehon.com/>  
<http://www.zerobeat.net>

Thom LaCosta K3HRN Webmaster  
Baltimore's Home Page  
Home of the Baltimore Lexicon  
Home of The QRP Web Ring  
and Drake Mail List Pages

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 20:18:30 -0400 (EDT)

From: <baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107633] Re: g3ycc site(and memorial sites)  
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.05L.10109282017470.2948-100000@vh1.min.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Fri, 28 Sep 2001 baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com wrote:

> After TONS of rewrites and searching google, I've found the  
> following files are missing from the G3YCC memorial site  
> at <http://www.zerobeat.net/g3ycc/> AND the G3YCC site:  
>  
> qls2.htm(and graphics)

Dang.....qls2.htm(and graphics)

thom	
baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com	Thom LaCosta K3HRN Webmaster
<a href="http://www.baltimoremd.com/">http://www.baltimoremd.com/</a>	Baltimore's Home Page
<a href="http://www.baltimorehon.com/">http://www.baltimorehon.com/</a>	Home of the Baltimore Lexicon
<a href="http://www.zerobeat.net">http://www.zerobeat.net</a>	Home of The QRP Web Ring
	and Drake Mail List Pages

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 20:22:39 -0400  
From: Fred Lesnick <flesnick@tbaytel.net>  
To: QRPL <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107634] Field Day Results  
Message-ID: <3BB5144F.D8B81954@tbaytel.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Gang:  
Does anyone know when the 2001 field day results come out.  
Wanting to see how we did QRP this year.

Fred  
VE3FAL

-----  
Date: 29 Sep 2001 01:15:30 America/Fort\_Wayne  
From: Michael Goins <mgoins@usa.net>  
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu

Subject: [107635] For Sale - Update  
Message-ID: <20010929011530.13360.qmail@cpdv202.cms.usa.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Forgot to list the White Mountain 20 meter ssb radio, unbuilt, (with factory case) \$125 =

SOLD - =

Outbacker perth plus  
AT-11 LDG  
Dentron W2 wattmeter  
Realistic amplified speaker  
Ten tec 1202 wattmeter

Still available -

FT-817 w/300 Inrad filter, w/aftermarket nylon bag, factory mike (factory box), as new \$700 shipped (also a wall wart power supply)

SM57 Shure professional microphone, new in the box (same mike as used on the presidential podium) \$70

Amp, 10-40 meters, AMCOMM HB-150 penetrater, \$90

KLM 70 watt, PA70-BL vhf fm \$75

Mirage B34 2 meter amp, 1-8 watts in, 35 out, FM, like new in box \$55

ATX multiband antenna for the FT-817 (or any rig with a BNC connector) \$95

All prices are shipped CONUS. Thanks for the bandwidth.  
Please reply direct to mgoins@usa.net  
Michael Goins wb5yjx

---

Get free e-mail and a permanent address at <http://www.amexmail.com/?A=3D1=>

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 20:48:53 -0500  
From: "Glenn Butzlaff" <gbutzlaff@wi.rr.com>  
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [107636] Re: Not QRP but C.W.  
Message-ID: <004501c14888\$e4d98fa0\$d3bf1d41@wi.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thats a tough one Thomas. There are so many techno artists out there, but Kraftwerk from Germany was a big name from the early techno days. Never thought they would make it to the Muzak rotation tho!

Glenn, WE9K  
Cudahy, WI

----- Original Message -----  
From: Thomas Kuehl <ac7a@gci-net.com>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Saturday, September 29, 2001 6:17 PM  
Subject: Not QRP but C.W.

> Hello List,  
>  
> Now I realize this is a bit (a bunch) off topic, but I had one of  
> those weird c.w. moments today. Due to slow business at work,  
> many of us have been asked to take a number of vacation days  
> each quarter. Well today was one of my "vacation" days, so I  
> headed out with my lovely XYL to the local Bed, Bath and Beyond  
> store (This just isn't the kind of place I would go on my own).  
>  
> Anyway, I was following my wife around the store while she  
> proceeded to look at food processors, bed sheets, electric tooth  
> brushes, etc., when the music coming over the store speaker  
> system caught my ear. It sounded like the tape or CD got stuck on  
> one segment of the song, and within that segment I thought I  
> heard c.w. characters being sent. I quickly moved about the  
> aisles so that I could better hear it. Indeed it was actual c.w.  
> coming from the speakers!  
>  
> At first I thought that some local c.w.. op was getting into the  
> stores sound system. But as I listened to the nice modulated  
> tone, I realized that didn't make sense. As I listened, it became

> apparent that the c.w. was an intentional part of the song and  
> was being played on a keyboard or synthesizer. The c.w. was a bit  
> choppy, and slow; maybe 10 or 12 w.p.m. All I copied was ". . .  
> in the air . . ." That line may have been preceded by the word  
> "joy. "After the c.w. ceased, some lone soloist began singing  
> some lines in what I believe was German.  
>  
> I am not ancient (yet), and my 3 children are teens; so I am  
> familiar with German techno-pop. This song had that very  
> rhythmic, synthesized sound, but its beat was very slow; not the  
> usual hyper beat associated with techno. So in that regard this  
> wasn't "dance" music. It is was I would classify a techno, slow  
> song (?).  
>  
> Anyone have any idea what song this is and who does it? Maybe  
> some of our European list dwellers know this music, or have teens  
> that know the answers. This song could become the c.w. operators  
> anthem!  
>  
> Best Regards, Thomas - AC7A (Tucson)  
>  
> P.S. Is anyone going to try 160 meters in the Fall QRP QSO party?  
>

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 21:15:20 -0500  
From: "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107637] Digital Modes on 7.040 MHz  
Message-ID: <056001c1488c\$97917c40\$7001a8c0@lwinc1.in.home.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello everyone. Did I miss something? All evening, from 7.025 through  
7.050 there are lots of VERY high power digital mode stations. I have to  
turn down the gain all the way on my HW-8 or the headphones are overdriven.  
I thought the frequencies for digital modes on 40 meters were supposed to  
be:

40 Meters	
7.035.150	PSK31
7.037	Hellschreiber
7.080	RTTY
7.171	SSTV

I just invested in a bunch of 7.040 MHz crystals for my CW only transceivers. Is this where ham radio is going? 1,500 watts Hellschreiber or PSK31 on a 40 meter QRP CW calling frequency? Is anyone else hearing this tonight?

72 de Brice KA8MAV  
Indianapolis, IN

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 19:38:28 -0700  
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107638] Re: Digital Modes on 7.040 MHz  
Message-ID: <20010928193828.A4540@oz.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Disposition: inline

On Fri, Sep 28, 2001 at 09:15:20PM -0500, Brice D. Hornback wrote:

> Hello everyone. Did I miss something? All evening, from 7.025 through  
> 7.050 there are lots of VERY high power digital mode stations. I have to  
> turn down the gain all the way on my HW-8 or the headphones are overdriven.  
> I thought the frequencies for digital modes on 40 meters were supposed to  
> be:

>  
> 40 Meters  
> 7.035.150               PSK31  
> 7.037                   Hellschreiber  
> 7.080                   RTTY  
> 7.171                   SSTV  
>

> I just invested in a bunch of 7.040 MHz crystals for my CW only  
> transceivers. Is this where ham radio is going? 1,500 watts Hellschreiber  
> or PSK31 on a 40 meter QRP CW calling frequency? Is anyone else hearing  
> this tonight?

You are hearing the CQWW RTTY contest, which runs from 0000Z Sept. 29 to 2400Z Sept. 30.

Outside of Region 2, the 40 meter band is 7000 - 7100 kHz. The upper end of this is normally used for phone, so CW and digital modes get moved downward. The DX RTTY frequency is 7040, same as the QRP frequency. In a major contest such as this, it will be spread out over a much larger frequency range.

Bob, N7XY

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 21:52:27 -0500  
From: "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107639] Re: Digital Modes on 7.040 MHz  
Message-ID: <056e01c14891\$c6a45200\$7001a8c0@lwinc1.in.home.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thanks to everyone who replied. THAT explains it! hi hi

Well... I connected a RTTY Decoder to my HW-8 so at least I can see what's going on now. It took me a while to figure out the modes, speed settings, etc. but now I'm seeing callsigns from all over. VERY interesting. I guess this won't be a good weekend for me to work on my 7.040 XTAL rigs. Oh well...

72 de Brice KA8MAV

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 20:15:22 -0700  
From: "John Moriarity" <k6qq@hdo.net>  
To: <k7fd@hotmail.com>,  
                "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107640] Re: Get on this weekend!!  
Message-ID: <003401c14894\$fa54b6a0\$7b414cd1@k6qq>

>  
> This is not a good sign, OM...  
>  
> ;) John K7FD  
>  
> >I will also be trying out my new orphan K2, which the two previous  
> owners  
> >are now silent keys.  
>  
> >Jim N0UR

Agreed. I'd price it for a quick sale ;-)



John, K6QQ

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 23:02:39 +0000  
From: "Steven Weber" <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>  
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [107641] Re: Get on this weekend!!  
Message-ID: <200109290336.f8T3aUc12693@wolf.ncia.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Well, now that I've rested a day, I think I have one more hike left in me. So, seeing that the Wx looks like it will be nice tomorrow, the fall colors are in full swing up here thanks to the recent rain and cool temps, think I'll head up to a place called "Unknown Pond" (which it seems few people know about!) and operate the ARCI Fall QSO party from up there. This time I'll bring the "Gusher" antenna with the 40/20 trap dipole elements I made for it. I scouted the area this spring and found a nice camp site on a hill above the pond with some good trees to sling the antenna from. See ya tomorrow!

72,  
Steve, KD1JV in the White Mountains of New Hampshire  
"Melt Solder"  
<http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/index.html>

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 21:41:15 -0600  
From: "Rod Cerkoney, N0RC" <rod@n0rc.com>  
To: <n0ur@mediaone.net>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107642] Re: Get on this weekend!!  
Message-ID: <01b401c14898\$982c1820\$6401a8c0@c919125b>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Jim, et.al.

IF the sun don't hicup the QRPARCI QSO party should be great. There

are some events going on now that are making the low bands noisy, but things are getting better.

I want to get 5-10 hours of op time on 10, 15 & 20 mainly. Will be on and off while I attend to other things at home, bills, yard work, shopping...etc. ;-)

Don't listen to the others RE previous owners of your K2--third time's a charm. Hope to work you and everyone else this weekend.

73, Rod N0RC  
Ft Collins, CO

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jim N0UR" <n0ur@mediaone.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2001 5:15 PM  
Subject: Get on this weekend!!

> Just a quick note to remind everyone to get on the weekend for the  
QRP QRCI  
> Fall QSO Party. Even if you don't contest, it is a great time to  
test that  
> new antenna idea, new rig or see how many new states you can work  
QRP or  
> QRPp.  
>  
> If for no other reason, please get on and work us poor saps who have  
> committed to a full 24 hour effort.  
>  
> I will also be trying out my new orphan K2, which the two previous  
owners  
> are now silent keys.

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 05:58:10 +0000  
From: Arthur Moe <kb7ww@uswest.net>  
To: qrp <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107643] Great start to contest  
Message-ID: <3BB562F2.A1A31710@uswest.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Sat down to make sure all was ready for the morning and Murphy jumped up and said " I think your keyer is a good target". Any a quick call to an old friend and a hour drive each way and a new to me, but old AEA MM2 is now ready to go. See you all in the morning.

Art  
KB7WW

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 23:31:09 -0600 (MDT)  
From: "Paul Harden, NA5N" <na5n@rt66.com>  
To: qrp-canada@neale.gpfn.sk.ca, qrp-1@lehigh.edu  
Cc: "Prof. Arnaldo Coro Antich" <inforhc@ip.etcusa.cu>  
Subject: [107644] Weekend condx for arci QSO Party  
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.4.10.10109282249250.3268-100000@shell.rt66.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Gang,  
First, my apologies for not getting this posted until so late (almost midnite friday local). My %\$#@ rt66 server has been up and down for over a week performing so-called "improvements." I finally had to log on thru a friends computer, telnet to my work computer to get the UNIX platform, then telnet to rt66.com since the local modems are down. Geez ... hopefully it will show up on the groups! I do try to get these posted before the next day's digest begins. Sorry for the delay.

The sun has been very hot this week with numerous X and M class flares from several active regions. However, activity today and yesterday, which would impact the earth this weekend for the arci QSO party, seems to have given us qrp'ers a bit of break.

Thursday 27 SEP there was a CME (coronal mass ejection) not associated with any noted flare event. It appears to have come from the east limb of the sun, meaning it will likely just glance the earth on saturday. This might elevate noise levels on 40-80M a bit, but not sufficient to trigger a storm.

Today, Friday 28 SEP, there was an M2 and a M3 flare. The M2 came from near the limb of the sun and thus won't bother us. Lucked out on that one. The M3 was near the center of the sun, meaning the shockwave was pretty much zeroed on the earth. However, the escape velocity of the shockwave was measured at 680 km/sec., or fairly weak. It will be late sunday when it hits, after the contest, and will likely not cause any storming. NOAA is predicting an A-index of 15-18 over the weekend, which is barely ACTIVE conditions, or fairly close to normal conditions.

The solar flux remains VERY HIGH ... 266 on friday, and estimated to be 260 over the weekend.

The >10MeV and >100MeV is still at elevated levels and subsiding. This will not effect most of us, but Canada/Alaska regions will still be experiencing a Polar Cap Absorption Event for very weak signals on the HF bands. The effect is worse at lower frequencies, so 10-15M may be the only change these fellas may have during daylight hours.

With this information, the HF bands this weekend should look something like this:

10M will be open during daylight hours. However, the MUF contours show 10M propagation favoring the southern portion of the U.S. That is, 10M may not appear open for long path skip from the northern tier of states, but should be quite good in the south. (Certainly from NM!) Skip will be dominantly east-west paths.

15M-20M will be the dominant daytime bands. A little noise from our disturbed geomagnetic field may yield elevated noise on 20M, but with little signal absorption on 20-15M (due to the high solar flux), the signal-to-noise ratio should be good for QRP signals. Signal paths on these two bands will also heavily favor east-west directions.

40M should be fairly normal in late afternoon and evening. The slight geomagnetic disturbances expected should not seriously degrade 40M. In other words, about the same old level of hiss and crashes you ALWAYS hear on 40M. After sundown, when the D-layer disappears, and the autumn weather quickly cools the evening sky (i.e., atmosphere), 40M should sound a bit nicer than you are used to this summer.

80M - what I can I say? Truth is, 40 and 80 are seldom effected by solar activity ... primarily geomagnetic sensitive. 80M will sound like it always does as well.

The only caution is if a major flare occurs saturday or sunday. It could cause 2-3 hours of poor HF communications by our D-layer becoming extra ionized, which will attenuate signals going TO and FROM the E and F layers. But this conditions usually subsides in a couple of hours. If this happens, the higher bands will recover first.

To check on the current state of affairs, goto:  
<http://www.sec.noaa.gov/today.html>

The first batch of charts shows the x-ray emissions from the sun. A flare will cause a "spike." The scale on the right shows the classification of the flare, that is, whether an M or X class flare,

based on the top trace. The second chart down the page shows the current K index. If the bars are GREEN, conditions are excellent. YELLOW means disturbed, and RED is storm conditions. It should remain green all weekend. Click on the "D-layer absorption" under the first chart to see how much attenuation is occurring on different frequencies. Normally, there is little attenuation ABOVE 10MHz.

It's a good weekend to get on the air, especially the higher bands during the day right now, whether to just work some QRP DX, or participate in the ARCI QSO PARTY. It runs from 1200Z 29SEPT to 2400Z 30SEP. Even if you don't wish to participate in the contest per se, it's still fun to get on for it for an hour or two, just to see how propagation is, and to help out those who are participating in it. A few new faces in any contest is ALWAYS welcomed and appreciated.

I'm hoping to get on for a while mid afternoon on the higher bands to play with a couple of different rigs and antennas, and probably again late evening (10pm to midnite or so) on 40M to play with a new 40M wire antenna and a 2-element phased array I just put up.

Sorry this post ran so long, but who knows when I'll be able to log on again :-( And hopefully for those new to the HF propagation stuff, it explained a few things and when (and why) to use which bands when.

Have a good weekend everyone, whether on-the-air or off. Enjoy the bands and the "touch of fall" weather.

72, Paul NA5N

PS - Rumor has it the Zombie Shuffle this year will be held on Friday nite, Oct. 26th. The gory details to be posted soon.

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 23:58:36 -0700 (PDT)  
From: "Robert P. Okas" <vintage@best.com>  
To: <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [107645] Ten Tec SSB Question  
Message-ID: <20010928235504.U28123-1000000@shell114.ba.best.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Hi Gang,

I'm looking for information from owners of either the Omni VI or the Paragon II concerning your experiences using SSB. In particular, I'd like to know how effective the speech processing is. Please email me directly.

Thanks & 73,  
Bob - W3CD

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 08:01:16 -0400  
From: "Joe Everhart" <n2cx@voicenet.com>  
To: "qrpl" <qrpl@lehigh.edu>  
Cc: "n2cx" <n2cx@voicenet.com>  
Subject: [107646] N2CX HB Sprint Operation  
Message-ID: <00bd01c148de\$723378c0\$0a5947d1@n2cxtoy>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Group,

Better late than never I guess...

Tried to sent this early this week before going on the road and the e-mail server bounced it!

I managed to fit in a little over an hour in the HB Sprint on Sunday evening.

Only 14 Q's between chores and walking the dog(s). I've been suffering from high ambient noise lately that has really impeded hearing almost anyone!

40 was best though I snagged W0RSP and NQ7X on 20. Worked only station heard on 80 - WQ2RP in next town over. Heard/saw only one PSK-31 station on 80 during 1/2 hour midway thru the event and I couldn't snag him.

Gotta improve things. I \*love\* operating QRP contests but hate beating my head against the wall!

Here's hoping I do better in the ARCI event.

72/73,

Joe E., N2CX

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 08:00:17 -0400  
From: Ed Kessler <edkess@pa.net>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107647] ARCI vs. RTTY Major Mistake!  
Message-ID: <5.0.2.1.0.20010929075854.00a20940@mail.pa.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

IMHO, it was a major mistake to hold the ARCI contest on the same weekend as the RTTY contest! Too much overlap in frequency demand.

73  
Ed AA3SJ

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 08:20:39 -0400  
From: W2AGN <w2agn@pobox.com>  
To: "Daniel Shepherd" <n8ie@woh.rr.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107648] Re: Get on this weekend!!  
Message-ID: <01092908203909.27058@njbirdman>  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

On Friday 28 September 2001 19:38, Daniel Shepherd wrote:

> Jim,  
> I'd put that bad boy on e-Bay before it kills again!  
>  
> Let me guess, it's the only red K-2 to come off the line. :-)  
>  
> 72, oo  
> Dan, N8IE

A K2 named Chuckie!

Not sure I like THAT mojo!

John W2AGN

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 09:12:07 -0400  
From: John Payne <paynej1@strato.net>  
To: QRP-L <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [107649] FS Kodak Printer  
Message-ID: <200109291310.JAA16877@green.strato.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

A bit off topic, but it CAN be batatery powered, so could be considered QRP;

I have a Brand New Kodak Diconix 150 Plus portable printer, original box manuals etc that I don't need. Asking \$50 plus \$5.00 toward shipping CONUS. It works fine, just prefer my DeskJet 320. Good for portable use, logging, etc, runs on 5 NiCd C cells (not included).

Please reply offlist to reduce list traffic.

TNX ES 73 DE N4FLJ

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 09:15:16 -0400  
From: John Payne <paynej1@strato.net>  
To: QRP-L <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [107650] Ooops....  
Message-ID: <200109291313.JAA18303@green.strato.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Batatery powered? Sheesh, need more coffee!

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 09:40:46 -0400  
From: Bill Coleman <aa4lr@arrl.net>  
To: <mmorrow@companet.net>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>



Subject: [107651] Re: More on...not moron...shorthand  
Message-ID: <20010929134151.NUYV11586.imf04bis.bellsouth.net@[192.168.0.20]>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

On 9/25/01 11:24 PM, Mike at mmorrow@companet.net wrote:

>TU (thank you) has a very very long tradition of use in commercial CW  
>operations, and nearly as long in ham operations. It means the same  
>thing as the ham TKS or TNX, but it is much more "snappy." Its use is  
>not related to the "over" prosigns K or KN.

Although for certain operations, the K or KN prosigns are often omitted.  
It's just the same as not saying "over" on repeaters or in a lot of HF  
operation. In contest operation, a TU or a 73 is about all you should  
expect to get.

>I will add my vote against the new-age operating habit of not using the  
>DE prosign.

The DE prosign is often redundant and is then omitted.

Back in the days when hams had to tune around for other rock-bound hams  
as much as 10-25 kc (it was kc in those days) for answers, a long reply  
of his call \*3 DE my call\*3 made a lot of sense.

Today, however, since virtually everyone is zero beat and filters being  
so narrow, sending his call or the DE is redundant.

When you hear "CQ FOX K0EVZ FOX", do you answer with "K0EVZ K0EVZ K0EVZ  
DE  
AA4LR AA4LR AA4LR AR"? No. Instead, you just send "AA4LR", listen, and  
perhaps send AA4LR again, and listen some more.

>I also question the ham use of SK stacked on AR stacked on CL stacked on  
>"dit dit" and so on. I prefer the simple military CW usage of K for  
>"over" (end of transmission, expecting a reply) or AR for "out" (end of  
>transmission, no reply expected). Why would one need to end any CW  
>transmission with something other than K or AR?

SK has the specific meaning of being the end of the QSO. SK (aka VA) was  
supposed to be sent BEFORE your final id, not in place of K, although  
common use in the last 30 years has it replacing the K. CL K is the  
proper procedure for signing when you are closing your station. AR is  
properly used as an "over" sign when calling a station you haven't yet  
established communication with yet.

All of this is covered in a great article that was originally published

in the November 1956 QST (p 59). The ARRL used to send a copy out to new licensees. I still have mine from 1975. The article was reprinted in the December 1990 QST (pg 38). Here's a reference on the web:  
<<http://www.carroll-usa.com/vanity/articles/novacnt.html>>

>Part of the real joy of CW to me is in using traditional CW operating  
>practices, especially if they are based on professional (military or  
>commercial) usage. With the professional use of CW now all but dead, it  
>would be a shame to not preserve at least some CW as was practised by  
>this honorable but now extinct profession in the only service that  
>continues to use CW (the hams).

Hams have their own traditions, which are similar to, but not completely identical to military and commercial CW practice. And that practice has continued to evolve.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL                      Mail: aa4lr@arrl.net  
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"  
      -- Wilbur Wright, 1901

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 09:45:00 -0400  
From: Bill Coleman <aa4lr@arrl.net>  
To: <smuncy@mac.com>,  
      "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107652] Re: More on...not moron...shorthand  
Message-ID: <20010929134605.0HEZ21101.imf10bis.bellsouth.net@[192.168.0.20]>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

On 9/26/01 8:09 AM, Steve Muncy at smuncy@mac.com wrote:

>  
>On Wednesday, September 26, 2001, at 03:28 AM, Charles Mabbott wrote:  
>  
>I've heard some wonder why "KN" even exists -- but I remember a time  
>when three-way CW QSOs were not uncommon. A station could break in to an  
>existing QSO and join in. The "KN" was used to indicate that you didn't  
>want break ins. A "K" meant it was okay to break in. Perhaps it is just  
>me, but I've not heard or participated in a 3-way CW QSO in years so  
>perhaps 3-ways went away with crystal control. There probably isn't as  
>much need for the "KN" as there once was.

When I was licensed as a Novice in 1975, hearing KN was all too common. It was probably an abuse of the prosign, however. K would have been sufficient in virtually all the situations I've heard KN used.

>Any reference to TU as "to you" is absolutely WRONG and people should  
>not use it that way. For decades CW net control stations have  
>acknowledged checkins by sending "TU AS", meaning "thank you, please  
>standby." If it meant "to you" then "TU AS" would be translated as "to  
>you, please standby" which makes no sense at all. Furthermore, my very  
>old copy of the ARRL Ham Desktop Reference lists the meaning of "TU" as  
>"thank you" along with many other CW abbreviations. I must admit that I  
>had never heard of anyone thinking that TU meant "to you" and I use TU a  
>lot during QSOs -- makes me wonder if some people were confused when I  
>sent it!

You're right, TU has always been Thank You. (Although in contests, it sounds an awful lot like the letter X....)

>I've had several stations in the past year demonstrate that they did not  
>understand the meaning of the prosign AS. I'd get a phone call in the  
>middle of a QSO and send "AS AS" and would get back something like "??"  
>or some other reference to show they didn't understand it. That would  
>force me to send something like "fone call -- stndby" which sure takes a  
>heck of a lot longer than AS!!!

I think that prosign is on the FCC's list.

Bill Coleman, AA4LR, PP-ASEL                      Mail: aa4lr@arrl.net  
Quote: "Not within a thousand years will man ever fly!"  
      -- Wilbur Wright, 1901

-----  
Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 12:33:09 -0700  
From: "Walt Amos" <k8cv@netzero.net>  
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [107653] Shorthand  
Message-ID: <000201c148ee\$b88dc680\$4ec4d8c0@uswc.uswest.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
          charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

How about .....

r ( received ) tu ( thank you ) qrz ( ready for who else was calling me )  
k8cv ( my call sign )

cq ( general call to any station ) qrp ( the type of station I'm calling )  
k8cv ( my call ) k8cv k8cv k ( go head )

also notice the space between my 3 calls and the K , don't run them all together if you are using a keyboard or memory keyer.

Just skip the cut numbers, don't even learn them!!

now when thing are slow .....

cq qrp cq qrp cq qrp de k8cv k8cv k8cv/qrp test k

sent 5 or 10 wpm slower than you have been sending will some times get a reply.

Hey, have fun, that's what it is all about.

Throw the BUG in the trash and get a electronic keyer and bencher paddle, the squeeze kind.

Oh, that ought to bring me some hate mail !

Walt K8CV

-----  
NetZero Platinum  
Only \$9.95 per month!  
Sign up in September to win one of 30 Hawaiian Vacations for 2!  
<http://my.netzero.net/s/signup?r=platinum&refcd=PT97>

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 08:39:51 -0600 (MDT)  
From: "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>  
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [107654] RTTY on 7.038 MHz  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0109290834100.1258-100000@cannac.fun>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

K50I and K5DI tried to hold a qrp qso on 7.038 MHz but were blasted out by loud RTTY. I cranked up 100 watts and Tim ran his K2 up to 15 watts but it was to no avail. We were jammed.

I listened again at 0630 MDT and the RTTY was still active on that frequency. There is no way we can communicate on 7.040 MHz while the RTTY is active. He must be running a KW into a good antenna!

--

Yours Truly,

- Karl F. Larsen, k5di@arrl.net (505) 524-3303 -  
<http://www.qsl.net/k5di/>

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 10:39:05 -0400  
From: "Charles Mabbott" <crmabbott@mediaone.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107655] RE: Shorthand  
Message-ID: <GAECLOGOMILPLBGKKPEGOEHBCNAA.crmabbott@mediaone.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

No hate mail Walt, I feel the same way about the keyers as you do the bug. I spend about two hours off the air practicing [bug] for every hour on the air, probably why you don't hear me much lately busy with work things..... Still like the bug and how they sound...

=====  
Chuck Mabbott  
AA8VS  
42 19' 52" N 83 28' 32" W  
Grid Square EN82gh  
Home Page: <http://aa8vs.dhs.org:81/aa8vs>  
FP-113 MI-QRP#1212 Firebirds #2117 SOC #445

"Throw the BUG in the trash and ...."

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 07:27:20 -0700  
From: lhlousek <lhlousek@nvhbell.net>  
To: aa4lr@arrl.net,

Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107656] Re: More on...not moron...shorthand  
Message-ID: <005c01c148f2\$d94ef340\$650dfea9@nvdbell.net>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

<<Today, however, since virtually everyone is zero beat and filters being so narrow, sending his call or the DE is redundant.>>

It seems that at least 50% of the time ops that respond to my CQ or tail-end one of my QSO are far enough off frequency that I have to use the RIT. (there are many reasons: they are tone deaf, they forgot to zero their RIT, they zero beat to me instead of the guy I was working, they have a rig that doesn't let them zero beat (e.g. SST), the RX offset on thier rig is off, they don't care, etc..) If they are close enough in frequency and loud enough in QRM and QRN for me to copy easily (less likely for QRP stations) I may get it if they sign only once. Of course, if they are tail ending a QSO, I wouldn't know which one of us they are calling. It is possible that they are calling not me but someone I can't hear.

For non-pileup non-contest QSOs I would prefer somebody calling me sends my call once DE their call twice K. There is no ambiguity as to whom they are calling, I have enough time to RIT over them if necessary, it increases the probability of me copying their call the first time, gives me enough time to get it into the log, etc. In my experience, this is pretty much the way it goes +/- on the air with normal QSOs. I rarely get somebody calling me by sending their call once and/or leaving out DE or K.

Lou W7DZN

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 10:35:48 -0500  
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
To: k5di@zianet.com  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107657] Re: RTTY on 7.038 MHz  
Message-ID: <3BB5EA54.C5C0B4E4@att.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

This is the weekend of a major worldwide RTTY contest, and 7040 is the DX Calling frequency for RTTY. Since most of the world is limited to 7000-7100, we can expect this weekend to be tough for QRP CW contacts. You are right, Karl - many of the serious contesters run max power to \*big\*

antennas . . .

72/73, George W5YR - the Yellow Rose of Texas QRP-L 1373 NETXQRP 6  
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE of Dallas in Collin county EM13qe SOC 262  
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 56th year and it just keeps getting better!  
Icom IC-756PRO #02121 Kachina #91900556 IC-765 #02437

"Karl F. Larsen" wrote:

>  
> K50I and K5DI tried to hold a qrp qso on 7.038 MHz but were blasted out by  
> loud RTTY. I cranked up 100 watts and Tim ran his K2 up to 15 watts but it  
> was to no avail. We were jammed.  
>  
> I listened again at 0630 MDT and the RTTY was still active on that  
> frequency. There is no way we can communicate on 7.040 MHz while the RTTY  
> is active. He must be running a KW into a good antenna!

-----  
Date: 29 Sep 2001 10:48:34 EST  
From: Michael Goins <mgoins@usa.net>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [107658] question - kenwood 440  
Message-ID: <20010929154834.6771.qmail@cpdvvg201.cms.usa.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Need to wire my 440 a new power plug (I let my old cord get away). It's a=  
6  
pin plug. My manual doesn't show the wiring on it - does anyone know how =  
to  
wire the 4 wire (2 +12v and 2 -12v)? thanks in advance and please contact=  
me  
off list.  
mike

-----  
Get free e-mail and a permanent address at <http://www.amexmail.com/?A=3D1=>

-----  
Date: 29 Sep 2001 16:15:14 America/Fort\_Wayne  
From: Michael Goins <mgoins@usa.net>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [107659] disregard kenwood 440

Message-ID: <20010929161514.17371.qmail@cpdv202.cms.usa.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

got it figured out. old eyes and all that. thanks. =

mike

-----  
Get free e-mail and a permanent address at <http://www.amexmail.com/?A=3D1=>

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 16:15:58 +0000  
From: Garie Halstead <k8kfj@ntelos.net>  
To: Ed Kessler <edkess@pa.net>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107660] Re: ARCI vs. RTTY Major Mistake!  
Message-ID: <3BB5AD6E.470A5F92@ntelos.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

On Saturday, 29 September 2001, Ed Kessler wrote:

> IMHO, it was a major mistake to hold the ARCI contest on the same weekend  
> as the RTTY contest! Too much overlap in frequency demand.

For those individuals (such as myself) who had intended on doing a "Low  
Band Category" effort ... it does present a major problem. HI My high  
band antennas do poorly and was counting on 40m to come through for me  
in the -low band- category.

I initially didn't think there would be a conflict since QST shows the  
CQ WW RTTY Contest as being on the weekend of September 22/23. Thanks  
Contest Corral!!!

72 //Gary \*K8KFJ\*  
ARCI #10728

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 12:21:00 +0000  
From: "Steven Weber" <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [107661] ARCI test vs RTTY contest



Message-ID: <200109291650.f8TGoqc16026@wolf.ncia.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

If the 'TTY guys give us trouble around 7.040 tonight (which seems likely) lets try moving up above 7.050 or into the novice segment. Could save us all a lot of aggravation.

Okay, looks like I'm loaded for bear and about set to head out to the field test site. Hopefully be on starting about 20:00 UTC. (4pm edt)...or at least by dark :-)

72,

Steve, KD1JV in the White Mountains of New Hampshire

"Melt Solder"

<http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/index.html>

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 12:14:19 -0500

From: "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [107662] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest

Message-ID: <05fb01c1490a\$2dc57360\$7001a8c0@lwinc1.in.home.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Steve,

Last night, the RTTY guys were KW+ from 7.025 through 7.050 or so... and 7.080 on up including most of the Novice band as well. I couldn't find a quiet frequency anywhere from 7.025 through 7.150 and when I did... as soon as I sent a CQ (2 watts with my HW-8) it immediately was covered up by RTTY. It was like they listen for faint CW so they know where a good frequency is... or at least they are not monitoring the frequency for ANYTHING except RTTY.

My only gripe about the whole contest thing is... on 7.040 which IS the QRP calling frequency (and I guess the DX RTTY calling frequency...whos idea was that?) is it's NOT the DX stations at a KW+ on 7.040... it's LOCAL stations who surely know (and should be able to hear) the QRP CW folks trying to get out.

A lot of guys have QRP or QRPp rigs with a single 7.040 XTAL in them. I'm

sorry, but it's plain rude to do what they are doing on 7.040. Do they have the right to do it? Yes. BUT... in my opinion... it's still rude.

72 de Brice KA8MAV

----- Original Message -----

From: Steven Weber <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Saturday, September 29, 2001 7:21 AM  
Subject: ARCI test vs RTTY contest

If the 'TTY guys give us trouble around 7.040 tonight (which seems likely) lets try moving up above 7.050 or into the novice segment. Could save us all a lot of aggravation.

Okay, looks like I'm loaded for bear and about set to head out to the field test site. Hopefully be on starting about 20:00 UTC. (4pm edt)...or at least by dark :-)

72,  
Steve, KD1JV in the White Mountains of New Hampshire  
"Melt Solder"  
<http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/index.html>

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 14:16:25 -0400  
From: "Blake Meinecke" <n4gi@tampabay.rr.com>  
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [107663] Hexacon Therm-0-Trac tips?  
Message-ID: <LOBBJKDDDNINHEEPMCPKIEEMCCAA.n4gi@tampabay.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
        charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Greetings-

I have a Therm-0-Trac 1001 soldering stn., and need some tips. Sent messages to support e-mail, no luck. Anyone know where I can order them? Direct reply, as usual.

CUL, 73  
Blake M. Meinecke N4GI

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 12:42:46 -0600  
From: William R Colbert <w5xe@juno.com>  
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [107664] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <20010929.124249.-274553.2.w5xe@juno.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Well, 40 isn't the only band bothered - now there is rtty on 21.059/21.060 - and there is NO excuse for the rtty bunch to be doing that except stupidity and maliciousness on their part. Not even mentioning the SSB earlier on 14.060. Really takes the fun out it all.

"The more I see of the representatives of the people, the more I admire my dogs." letter from Count d'Orsay  
Ray Colbert, W5XE, 00TC#3618, SOWP#1064M NARTE-NCT2R  
FP# 111, SOC#78, ARCI 5784, El Paso, (FAR WEST) TEXAS

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 13:54:58 -0500 (CDT)  
From: Joe Reed <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
To: "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107665] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0109291348030.28378-100000@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Sat, 29 Sep 2001, Brice D. Hornback wrote:

> My only gripe about the whole contest thing is... on 7.040 which IS the QRP  
> calling frequency (and I guess the DX RTTY calling frequency...whos idea was  
> that?) is it's NOT the DX stations at a KW+ on 7.040... it's LOCAL stations  
> who surely know (and should be able to hear) the QRP CW folks trying to get  
> out.

>

> A lot of guys have QRP or QRPP rigs with a single 7.040 XTAL in them. I'm

> sorry, but it's plain rude to do what they are doing on 7.040. Do they have  
> the right to do it? Yes. BUT... in my opinion... it's still rude.

Rude only from a continent-centric viewpoint. In other regions 7.030 is  
the QRP frequency. AFAIK, 7040 is only the QRP calling frequency in  
Region 2.

Remember its only a hobby. The contest will end and things will return to  
normal. The RTTY boys will have had a great weekend, and QRP can return  
to 7040 at 0000 on Monday. Nobody or no group owns a frequency.

73,  
Joe N9JR

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 14:57:31 -0400 (EDT)  
From: <baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com>  
To: "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107666] Re: RTTY on 7.038 MHz  
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.05L.10109291453250.9970-100000@vh1.min.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Sat, 29 Sep 2001, Karl F. Larsen wrote:

> frequency. There is no way we can communicate on 7.040 MHz while the RTTY  
> is active. He must be running a KW into a good antenna!

But after all, it's a contest...you should know better than trying to  
operate in a normal manner when folks are collecting points.

Flame suit on

Interference only counts if you're not in the contest, otherwise, it's  
part of the thrill of the chase.

Thom

baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com  
<http://www.baltimoremd.com/>  
<http://www.baltimorehon.com/>  
<http://www.zerobeat.net>

Thom LaCosta K3HRN Webmaster  
Baltimore's Home Page  
Home of the Baltimore Lexicon  
Home of The QRP Web Ring  
and Drake Mail List Pages

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 14:02:47 -0500 (CDT)  
From: Joe Reed <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
To: William R Colbert <w5xe@juno.com>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107667] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0109291356350.28378-100000@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Sorry, but perhaps they are hearing and working stuff you can't hear. I don't see any reason for making assumptions (stupidity) or making ad hominum attacks (maliciousness) for things you don't really understand.

With the flux being as high as it is perhaps the RTTY activity is falling far below its usual limits. In my mind that would be a good thing. HF activity is always good. If it hits the frequencies we normally use, well there is usually a larger round knob on the radio which helps us move away from the problem. Others will do the same.

Get over it.

Joe N9JR

On Sat, 29 Sep 2001, William R Colbert wrote:

> Well, 40 isn't the only band bothered - now there is rtty on  
> 21.059/21.060 - and there is NO excuse for the rtty bunch  
> to be doing that except stupidity and maliciousness on  
> their part. Not even mentioning the SSB earlier on  
> 14.060. Really takes the fun out it all.  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> "The more I see of the representatives of the people,  
> the more I admire my dogs." letter from Count d'Orsay  
> Ray Colbert, W5XE, OOTC#3618, SOWP#1064M NARTE-NCT2R  
> FP# 111, SOC#78, ARCI 5784, El Paso, (FAR WEST) TEXAS  
>

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 12:21:17 -0700

From: "N7SG K7FD" <k7fd@hotmail.com>  
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [107668] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <F107GpEI2rV4ZneAzdf0000b783@hotmail.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

What does this mean? I hear people say it from time to time. Does it mean is doesn't matter? Does it mean it's all relative? Relative to what? Are hobbies not worth worrying about? If it's 'more than just a hobby' to someone, should they keep that to themselves out of fear of being labelled unbalanced? Hey, I resemble that comment... :)

Are there hams...and then HAMS, with a capital H? For me, it's not only a hobby, it's THE hobby!

73 John K7FD

>Remember its only a hobby.

>Joe N9JR

-----  
Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 14:40:47 -0500 (CDT)  
From: Joe Reed <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
To: N7SG K7FD <k7fd@hotmail.com>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107669] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0109291433510.28458-100000@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

It means there is plenty of spectrum to go around. It isn't worth getting all upset about nothing. If this this is all you have to get bothered about, consider yourself lucky. Or pehaps not becuae it might suggest some imbalance in your life. Draw your own conclusions based on your personal experiences.

YMMV.

Joe N9JR

On Sat, 29 Sep 2001, N7SG K7FD wrote:

> What does this mean? I hear people say it from time to time. Does it mean is  
> doesn't matter? Does it mean it's all relative? Relative to what? Are  
> hobbies not worth worrying about? If it's 'more than just a hobby' to  
> someone, should they keep that to themselves out of fear of being labelled  
> unbalanced? Hey, I resemble that comment... :)  
>  
> Are there hams...and then HAMS, with a capital H? For me, it's not only a  
> hobby, it's THE hobby!  
>  
> 73 John K7FD  
>  
> >Remember its only a hobby.  
>  
> >Joe N9JR  
>  
>  
>  
> -----  
> Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>  
>

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 16:02:10 -0400  
From: "Pastor-KC1DI" <elbc@pivot.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107670] RE: FT Psk 20/80  
Message-ID: <002101c14921\$a12e5080\$75cbfea9@elbc>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="Windows-1252"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thanks to all who responded the PSK-20 has found a new home.. Still have the  
warbler make an offer /trade..  
73 Dave KC1di

---  
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.  
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (<http://www.grisoft.com>).  
Version: 6.0.282 / Virus Database: 150 - Release Date: 9/25/01

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 17:12:05 -0400  
From: Joel M Denison <hamjoel@juno.com>  
To: joe@n9jr.dyndns.org, qrp-1@lehigh.EDU, fpqrp-1@mpna.com  
Subject: [107671] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <20010929.171206.-16536753.0.hamjoel@juno.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> > COULD U EXPLAIN THAT TO THE GUYS ON SSTV ON 14230MHZ....  
> > u got u self up thair on anything but sstv and u in a heap  
> of  
> > trouble son....

> I'm sorry but your post doesn't make much sense. Could you please  
> translate into standard english?

Yea, guess u bees right... ah kneauxs it gonna by-pass some  
understandings, but it an't 'portant enoughts to try and put in plain  
english.... however ah do 'preciate ur trying...  
got to geaux now... gonna geaux work the qrp arci party... bye  
now...  
kella joel  
in maine

-----  
GET INTERNET ACCESS FROM JUNO!  
Juno offers FREE or PREMIUM Internet access for less!  
Join Juno today! For your FREE software, visit:  
<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/web/>.

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 16:17:01 -0400  
From: Joel M Denison <hamjoel@juno.com>  
To: joe@n9jr.dyndns.org, fpqrp-1@mpna.com, qrp-1@lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [107672] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <20010929.161702.-16516489.1.hamjoel@juno.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

On Sat, 29 Sep 2001 13:54:58 -0500 (CDT) Joe Reed <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
writes:



> On Sat, 29 Sep 2001, Brice D. Hornback wrote:  
Nobody or no group owns a frequency.

>  
> 73,  
> Joe N9JR  
>  
>

COULD U EXPLAIN THAT TO THE GUYS ON SSTV ON 14230MHZ....

u got u self up thair on anything but sstv and u in a heap of  
trouble son....

ke1la joel  
in maine...

---

GET INTERNET ACCESS FROM JUNO!

Juno offers FREE or PREMIUM Internet access for less!  
Join Juno today! For your FREE software, visit:  
<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/web/>.

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 17:15:51 -0400  
From: Joel M Denison <hamjoel@juno.com>  
To: qrp-1@lehigh.EDU, fpqrp-1@mpna.com  
Subject: [107673] QRP ARCI CONTEST  
Message-ID: <20010929.171552.-16536753.1.hamjoel@juno.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

WHARE U GUYS BE?  
i'M ON 40 MTR LISTENING

KE1LA JOEL  
IN MAINE  
ARCI # .....

---

GET INTERNET ACCESS FROM JUNO!

Juno offers FREE or PREMIUM Internet access for less!  
Join Juno today! For your FREE software, visit:  
<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/web/>.

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 16:22:04 -0500  
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
To: hamjoel@juno.com

Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107674] Re: QRP ARCI CONTEST  
Message-ID: <3BB63B7C.99A443FB@att.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Try 20, Joel. I worked 6 stations in about 5 minutes around 14060. Lots of activity and not a RTTY to be heard.

72/73, George W5YR - the Yellow Rose of Texas QRP-L 1373 NETXQRP 6  
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE of Dallas in Collin county EM13qe SOC 262  
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 56th year and it just keeps getting better!  
Icom IC-756PRO #02121 Kachina #91900556 IC-765 #02437

Joel M Denison wrote:

>  
> WHARE U GUYS BE?  
> i'M ON 40 MTR LISTENING

-----

Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 15:31:53 -0600 (MDT)  
From: "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>  
To: <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [107675] balun problem  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0109291530020.2564-100000@cannac.fun>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Gang, I converted a 4:1 toroid balun to 1:1 but it doesn't work. I just re-wired it per the ARRL Handbook. It worked fine as a 4:1. What am I missing?

--

Yours Truly,

- Karl F. Larsen, k5di@arrl.net (505) 524-3303 -  
<http://www.qsl.net/k5di/>

-----

Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 16:12:28 -0500  
From: "Rob Matherly" <kc0bom@arrl.net>  
To: "Joel M Denison" <hamjoel@juno.com>, <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org>,

<fpqrp-1@mpna.com>, <qrp-1@lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107676] Re: [fpqrp] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <008901c1492b\$7d993ae0\$7d11a541@intern01>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hey now, some of us enjoy slow-scan tv.... :-)

(Asbestos suit on)

Lots of people (including myself) don't like when people run a kilo on a qrp frequency, so I can see where they'd get annoyed by people ragchewing on a sstv freq. Although I certainly don't think they have the right to jump in and start bugging a person. First come, first serve I say.

72/73/oo  
Rob, kc0bom  
FP Qrp -330; Live-Wire #442; IA QRP #143; SOC #497

-----

Visit my website! <http://www.geocities.com/kc0bom>

----- Original Message -----

From: Joel M Denison <hamjoel@juno.com>  
To: <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org>; <fpqrp-1@mpna.com>; <qrp-1@lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Saturday, September 29, 2001 3:17 PM  
Subject: [fpqrp] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest

On Sat, 29 Sep 2001 13:54:58 -0500 (CDT) Joe Reed <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org> writes:

> On Sat, 29 Sep 2001, Brice D. Hornback wrote:  
> Nobody or no group owns a frequency.  
>  
> 73,  
> Joe N9JR  
>  
>

COULD U EXPLAIN THAT TO THE GUYS ON SSTV ON 14230MHZ....

u got u self up thair on anything but sstv and u in a heap of trouble son....

kella joel  
in maine...

-----  
GET INTERNET ACCESS FROM JUNO!

Juno offers FREE or PREMIUM Internet access for less!

Join Juno today! For your FREE software, visit:

<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/web/>.

-To unsubscribe, mail to [majordomo@fpqrp.com](mailto:majordomo@fpqrp.com), msg: unsubscribe fpqrp-l -

---

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free. Don't you feel safe now?

Checked by AVG anti-virus system (<http://www.grisoft.com>).

Version: 6.0.282 / Virus Database: 150 - Release Date: 9/25/01

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 16:40:27 -0500

From: "Brice D. Hornback" <[bdh@cyberbound.net](mailto:bdh@cyberbound.net)>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <[qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU](mailto:qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU)>

Subject: [107677] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest

Message-ID: <066201c1492f\$5b13cf40\$7001a8c0@lwrnc1.in.home.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

It's not that it's hitting the frequencies we normally use... it's hitting them across the spectrum. Oh, by the way, the majority of my radios do NOT have a large round knob... or a small one either. A lot of what I've been doing is QRPp with single frequency XTAL rigs. As for working stuff "I" can't hear... well, do they actually "hear" whats going on? Do they actually MONITOR the audio on the frequency? I seriously doubt it or they wouldn't walk all over a local CW station calling CQ or another ham.

As for my "continent-centric viewpoint"... it is LOCAL stations (specifically, one in Virginia) transmitting CQ using KW+ RTTY on 7.040. They aren't answering a non-region 2 call. They are initiating the calls from within region 2.

Also, I NEVER said anyone or group owns the frequency... did you read what I wrote? I said "Do they have the right to do it? Yes. BUT... in my opinion... it's still rude." and I firmly believe that. Just because I have the "right" to transmit a killowatt of CW on 146.700 I don't do it. Does anyone here on this list do that?

After finding out the QRP ARCI contest was this weekend, I thought it would be great fun and I'd get a chance to meet some other QRP ARCI folks... I

haven't had a single QSO. Not one.

72 de Brice KA8MAV  
QRP ARCI #10972

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 16:52:14 -0500  
From: "Rob Matherly" <kc0bom@arrl.net>  
To: <bdh@cyberbound.net>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107678] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <00c801c14931\$08a2d9c0\$7d11a541@intern01>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Try sending 1500 watts into a 16 element beam aimed at a repeater 5 miles away and see how well that goes over... ;-)

72/73/oo  
Rob, kc0bom  
FP Qrp -330; Live-Wire #442; IA QRP #143; SOC #497

-----  
Visit my website! <http://www.geocities.com/kc0bom>

----- Original Message -----  
From: Brice D. Hornback <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Saturday, September 29, 2001 4:40 PM  
Subject: Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest

It's not that it's hitting the frequencies we normally use... it's hitting them across the spectrum. Oh, by the way, the majority of my radios do NOT have a large round knob... or a small one either. A lot of what I've been doing is QRPP with single frequency XTAL rigs. As for working stuff "I" can't hear... well, do they actually "hear" whats going on? Do they actually MONITOR the audio on the frequency? I seriously doubt it or they wouldn't walk all over a local CW station calling CQ or another ham.

As for my "continent-centric viewpoint"... it is LOCAL stations (specifically, one in Virginia) transmitting CQ using KW+ RTTY on 7.040. They aren't answering a non-region 2 call. They are initiating the calls from within region 2.

Also, I NEVER said anyone or group owns the frequency... did you read what I wrote? I said "Do they have the right to do it? Yes. BUT... in my opinion... it's still rude." and I firmly believe that. Just because I have the "right" to transmit a killowatt of CW on 146.700 I don't do it. Does anyone here on this list do that?

After finding out the QRP ARCI contest was this weekend, I thought it would be great fun and I'd get a chance to meet some other QRP ARCI folks... I haven't had a single QSO. Not one.

72 de Brice KA8MAV  
QRP ARCI #10972

---  
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free. Don't you feel safe now?  
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (<http://www.grisoft.com>).  
Version: 6.0.282 / Virus Database: 150 - Release Date: 9/25/01

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 16:58:43 -0500  
From: "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
To: "Rob Matherly" <kc0bom@arrl.net>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107679] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <067401c14931\$e9e7eb00\$7001a8c0@lwrnc1.in.home.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

But... what if there was a contest going on? That would be ok then???

----- Original Message -----  
From: Rob Matherly <kc0bom@arrl.net>  
To: <bdh@cyberbound.net>; Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion  
<qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Saturday, September 29, 2001 4:52 PM  
Subject: Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest

Try sending 1500 watts into a 16 element beam aimed at a repeater 5 miles

away and see how well that goes over... ;-)

72/73/oo

Rob, kc0bom

FP Qrp -330; Live-Wire #442; IA QRP #143; SOC #497

-----

Visit my website! <http://www.geocities.com/kc0bom>

----- Original Message -----

From: Brice D. Hornback <bdh@cyberbound.net>

To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Saturday, September 29, 2001 4:40 PM

Subject: Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest

It's not that it's hitting the frequencies we normally use... it's hitting them across the spectrum. Oh, by the way, the majority of my radios do NOT have a large round knob... or a small one either. A lot of what I've been doing is QRPp with single frequency XTAL rigs. As for working stuff "I" can't hear... well, do they actually "hear" whats going on? Do they actually MONITOR the audio on the frequency? I seriously doubt it or they wouldn't walk all over a local CW station calling CQ or another ham.

As for my "continent-centric viewpoint"... it is LOCAL stations (specifically, one in Virginia) transmitting CQ using KW+ RTTY on 7.040. They aren't answering a non-region 2 call. They are initiating the calls from within region 2.

Also, I NEVER said anyone or group owns the frequency... did you read what I wrote? I said "Do they have the right to do it? Yes. BUT... in my opinion... it's still rude." and I firmly believe that. Just because I have the "right" to transmit a killowatt of CW on 146.700 I don't do it. Does anyone here on this list do that?

After finding out the QRP ARCI contest was this weekend, I thought it would be great fun and I'd get a chance to meet some other QRP ARCI folks... I haven't had a single QSO. Not one.

72 de Brice KA8MAV

QRP ARCI #10972

---

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free. Don't you feel safe now?  
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (<http://www.grisoft.com>).

Version: 6.0.282 / Virus Database: 150 - Release Date: 9/25/01

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 17:02:39 -0500  
From: "Rob Matherly" <kc0bom@arrl.net>  
To: <bdh@cyberbound.net>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107680] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <00d501c14932\$7b1a5400\$7d11a541@intern01>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Guess it all depends on how desperate you are for contacts :-))))

Don't get me wrong, I'm on your side here. I think it stinks too, but there isn't much that can be done about it.

72/73/oo  
Rob, kc0bom  
FP Qrp -330; Live-Wire #442; IA QRP #143; SOC #497

-----  
Visit my website! <http://www.geocities.com/kc0bom>

----- Original Message -----  
From: Brice D. Hornback <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Saturday, September 29, 2001 4:58 PM  
Subject: Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest

But... what if there was a contest going on? That would be ok then???

----- Original Message -----  
From: Rob Matherly <kc0bom@arrl.net>  
To: <bdh@cyberbound.net>; Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion  
<qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Saturday, September 29, 2001 4:52 PM  
Subject: Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest

Try sending 1500 watts into a 16 element beam aimed at a repeater 5 miles



away and see how well that goes over... ;-)

72/73/oo

Rob, kc0bom

FP Qrp -330; Live-Wire #442; IA QRP #143; SOC #497

-----

Visit my website! <http://www.geocities.com/kc0bom>

----- Original Message -----

From: Brice D. Hornback <bdh@cyberbound.net>

To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Saturday, September 29, 2001 4:40 PM

Subject: Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest

It's not that it's hitting the frequencies we normally use... it's hitting them across the spectrum. Oh, by the way, the majority of my radios do NOT have a large round knob... or a small one either. A lot of what I've been doing is QRPP with single frequency XTAL rigs. As for working stuff "I" can't hear... well, do they actually "hear" whats going on? Do they actually MONITOR the audio on the frequency? I seriously doubt it or they wouldn't walk all over a local CW station calling CQ or another ham.

As for my "continent-centric viewpoint"... it is LOCAL stations (specifically, one in Virginia) transmitting CQ using KW+ RTTY on 7.040. They aren't answering a non-region 2 call. They are initiating the calls from within region 2.

Also, I NEVER said anyone or group owns the frequency... did you read what I wrote? I said "Do they have the right to do it? Yes. BUT... in my opinion... it's still rude." and I firmly believe that. Just because I have the "right" to transmit a killowatt of CW on 146.700 I don't do it. Does anyone here on this list do that?

After finding out the QRP ARCI contest was this weekend, I thought it would be great fun and I'd get a chance to meet some other QRP ARCI folks... I haven't had a single QSO. Not one.

72 de Brice KA8MAV

QRP ARCI #10972

---

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free. Don't you feel safe now?  
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (<http://www.grisoft.com>).

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 17:05:08 -0500  
From: Dave Sjolín <sjolin@swbell.net>  
To: bdh@cyberbound.net  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107681] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <3BB64594.BE6283CE@swbell.net>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

"Brice D. Hornback" wrote:

> A lot of guys have QRP or QRPp rigs with a single 7.040 XTAL in them. I'm  
> sorry, but it's plain rude to do what they are doing on 7.040. Do they have  
> the right to do it? Yes. BUT... in my opinion... it's still rude

How much protection do you want for rock bound stations on 7040? If a  
rtty station is operating 1500 watts to an antenna aimed your way and is  
transmitting on 7038, your xtal rig set up for 7040 will fold. If the  
rtty station moves to 7036 there is still a good chance it will be  
causing you significant interference. On the other hand, these same  
strong rtty stations can operate 500 hz apart with virtually no  
interference amongst themselves. I wonder why that is? Could it be they  
have significantly better receivers?

You think its rude to operate close enough to the qrp calling frequency  
(also the rtty dx calling frequency) to cause interefernce, but you  
think its fine for people who are xtal controlled to operate their all  
night. I thought the purpose of a calling frequency was to make contact  
and move off so others could make contact. Silly me, I should have known  
its someones private fishing hole.

Seems like the way to avoid this aggravation is to build a rig with a  
vfo (SWL40+ for \$55) and move where they aint. To avoid contests all  
together, why not build a rig for 30 meters. Its a fun band and nobody  
is running more than 200 watts.

73 de Dave, N0IT  
-----

Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 15:53:11 -0500 (CDT)  
From: Joe Reed <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
To: Joel M Denison <hamjoel@juno.com>  
Cc: <fpqrp-1@mpna.com>, <qrp-1@lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107682] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0109291551400.28641-100000@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Sat, 29 Sep 2001, Joel M Denison wrote:

>  
> On Sat, 29 Sep 2001 13:54:58 -0500 (CDT) Joe Reed <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
> writes:  
> > On Sat, 29 Sep 2001, Brice D. Hornback wrote:  
> Nobody or no group owns a frequency.  
>  
> COULD U EXPLAIN THAT TO THE GUYS ON SSTV ON 14230MHZ....  
> u got u self up thair on anything but sstv and u in a heap of  
> trouble son....

I'm sorry but your post doesn't make much sense. Could you please  
translate into standard english?

-----

Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 17:32:09 -0500  
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
To: bdh@cyberbound.net  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107683] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <3BB64BE9.1671B825@att.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Brice, I had 6 contacts in 5 minutes around 14060 - all good signals and  
not a RTTY to be heard.

72/73, George W5YR - the Yellow Rose of Texas QRP-L 1373 NETXQRP 6  
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE of Dallas in Collin county EM13qe SOC 262  
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 56th year and it just keeps getting better!  
Icom IC-756PRO #02121 Kachina #91900556 IC-765 #02437

"Brice D. Hornback" wrote:

> After finding out the QRP ARCI contest was this weekend, I thought it would  
> be great fun and I'd get a chance to meet some other QRP ARCI folks... I  
> haven't had a single QSO. Not one.

-----

Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 17:34:04 -0500  
From: Dave Sjolín <sjolin@swbell.net>  
To: bdh@cyberbound.net  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107684] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <3BB64C5C.3DA67881@swbell.net>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

"Brice D. Hornback" wrote:

>  
> by the way, the majority of my radios do NOT  
> have a large round knob... or a small one either. A lot of what I've been  
> doing is QRPP with single frequency XTAL rigs.

Using a crystal rig is fun and challenging but it YOUR CHOICE. If you  
want to avoid qrm, get a rig with a large round knob and then you won't  
be dependent on the good will of others.

> As for working stuff "I"  
> can't hear... well, do they actually "hear" what's going on? Do they  
> actually MONITOR the audio on the frequency? I seriously doubt it or they  
> wouldn't walk all over a local CW station calling CQ or another ham.

I don't know any RTTY stations that don't monitor the audio. I think most  
of us grew up listening to signals, so the idea of tuning the band by a  
visual indicator on your multimode controller just doesn't hack it.

As far as transmitting on top of a local station calling CQ or another  
ham, perhaps the RTTY station was there first. Perhaps someone in Europe  
or Asia has been CQing on that frequency for a couple of hours. You can't  
hear him but well-equipped world class contest stations can and they  
call him seemingly out of nowhere. Perhaps it's you who are causing  
interference to stations you can't hear.

> As for my "continent-centric viewpoint"... it is LOCAL stations  
> (specifically, one in Virginia) transmitting CQ using KW+ RTTY on 7.040.  
> They aren't answering a non-region 2 call. They are initiating the calls  
> from within region 2.

I dont know if you were referring to KA4RRU who was on 7038 or not but what is the problem with a US ham calling cq. A calling frequency indicates an area where you seek to make contact. Stations on either side of the Atlantic are free to initiate the contact. Rtty is legal throuhout the cw portion of the 40 meter band. The only thing I think most people ask of US rtty stations that call cq down below 7040 is that they answer only DX stations and not work other US stations in that spectrum since they have 7075 to 7100 to do it in.

> After finding out the QRP ARCI contest was this weekend, I thought it would  
> be great fun and I'd get a chance to meet some other QRP ARCI folks... I  
> haven't had a single QSO. Not one.

Did you try working the contest during the day time. There should be little qrm from rtty stations around 7040 until late afternoon. Until an hour or so before sunset the band should be clear. Or try another band. 40 meters is not the place to expect to work without interference over a weekend.

73 de Dave, N0IT

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 17:39:11 -0500 (CDT)  
From: Joe Reed <joe@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
To: "Brice D. Hornback" <bdh@cyberbound.net>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107685] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0109291731090.29136-100000@n9jr.dyndns.org>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Sat, 29 Sep 2001, Brice D. Hornback wrote:

> Also, I NEVER said anyone or group owns the frequency... did you read what I  
> wrote? I said "Do they have the right to do it? Yes. BUT... in my  
> opinion... it's still rude." and I firmly believe that. Just because I have  
> the "right" to transmit a killowatt of CW on 146.700 I don't do it. Does  
> anyone here on this list do that?  
>

Dude get a grip. This contest has a lot of Region 2 -> Region 1 QSOs. 7040 is in the heart of their contest. I don't give a rats a\*\* if they run 10 watts or 10K. It just is. And untill 0000z it will be.

And for the next couple of hours until 0000Z I would expect it will get bigger. Towards the end of the contest and all of that.

Again, I suggest you get a grip on your emotions. Because they really don't count for much except except personal exhalation.

Best regards,  
Joe N9JR

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 14:48:02 -0800  
From: Jim Larsen <AL7FS@ARRL.NET>  
To: unlisted-recipients;; (no To-header on input)  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107686] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <3BB64FA2.8D3EA052@ARRL.NET>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thank heaven for email filters. :-) Mine is activated.

73, Jim  
Jim Larsen, AL7FS, Anchorage, Alaska  
(BP51cc) - 61.101 North, 149.824 West  
mailto:al7fs@arrl.net - <http://www.qsl.net/al7fs/>

-----  
Date: Sat, 29 Sep 2001 17:55:12 -0500  
From: Dave Sjolín <sjolin@swbell.net>  
To: joe@n9jr.dyndns.org  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [107687] Re: ARCI test vs RTTY contest  
Message-ID: <3BB65150.B8485EDF@swbell.net>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Joe Reed wrote:

>

> And for the next couple of hours until 0000Z I would expect it will get  
> bigger. Towards the end of the contest and all of that.

Joe, I believe contest ends at 2400 z on 9/30 so there is another 25+ hours to go. All the more reason for some people to get a grip on their

emotions. Twenty five hours is too long to fume.

73 de Dave, N0IT

-----

End of QRP-L Digest 2327

\*\*\*\*\*

-----